

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/903,710  | 07/13/2001  | Stephen A. Schroeder | 2047.153                | 9176             |
| 5514 75   | 10/12/2004  |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO<br>30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA |             |                      | WONG, LESLIE A          |                  |
| NEW YORK, 1   |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 1761                    |                  |
|   |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 10/12/2004 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 09/903,710  | SCHROEDER ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| •   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Leslie Wong   | 1761   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) L. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or<br>FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI<br>ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1<br>ision and the corresponding amount of the<br>distallutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under the final Office extension fee under |  |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) $\boxtimes$ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) $\square$ they present additional claims without cancel   | ing a corresponding number of t   | inally rejected claims.  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  |   | to issues which were newly   |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we   | c(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)<br>ould be rejected is provided belo   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 4, 5, 10 and 12-22</u> .   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office  |   | Heslie Wong Leslie Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1761  |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/903,710

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments to limit the liquid carrier raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.